**Review Report**

Group ID: **2**

Project Name: MediPal

Prepared by: **Nguyễn Kiến Hào**

Team members:

23127290 - **Nguyễn Kiến Hào -** *Project manager* */* *Developer*   
23127395 - **Trần Anh Khoa -** *Developer / DevOps / Tester*  
23127500 - **Nguyễn Công Toàn -** *Business Analyst / Developer / Tester*

23127415 - **Trần Hữu Lượng -** *Tester / Developer / DevOps*

1. **What went well**

* Revised Use-case Specifications
* Defined Software Architecture
* Created class diagrams
* Designed database
* Designed user interface

1. **What went wrong**

* Specifications needed more clear relationships to better distinguish user-cases, as well as more thorough descriptions for main and alternative flows within user-case model, among other small tweaks.

1. **Problems and Cause**

| **No.** | **Description** | **Cause** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Tasks and roles needed to be assigned | A member taking a leave |

1. **What can be done differently next sprint**

* While writing documents, allocate time to review earlier to catch mistakes or expand upon ideas

1. **Lessons learned**

* Despite tasks being assigned specifically to be done in small groups, an overall reflection to gather all team members’ opinions and proposals is highly encouraged